Case 1:18-cv-00423-LO-IDD Document 188-3 Filed 06/14/19 Page 2 of 7 PageID# 10707

From:

Logan Churchwell

Sent:

5/25/2017 7:14:40 PM

To:

a@

Subject:

Re: Keith - more on your concern

All of the below traffic from those guys can be true and we still have the opportunity to convert pushback into official confusion to justify our call for top-down overhaul. The fog of war favors the aggressor here.

Even better, the next report details the noncitizens admitting their status in their own words.

Logan C. Churchwell

Sent from my iPhone

On May 25, 2017, at 15:55, "a@

" <a@

> wrote

Fyi

From: a@

Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 6:45 PM

To: Keith G. Damon Cc: 'Reagan George'

Subject: Re: Keith - more on your concern

Keith, it's the best data available. If the fact a registrant was a citizen, their own system reported otherwise and kicked them off the rolls. Nobody has better data than we do. If there are false positives, it's state data and procedures that made them false positives. That alone makes it imperative to expose even the glitches.

But I'll have that fight any day of the week when they are also registering people who marked "no" on the citizen check box. That graphic is in the report.

From: Keith G. Damon

Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Christian Adams **Cc:** 'Reagan George'

Subject: Re: Keith - more on your concern

DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 24 PICF

I think we can all agree that list maintenance is problematic in Virginia. Since the Code does not closely specify what must be done and require GR accountability in actually maintaining the list, who knows what is being done and when it is being done. Lindberg's comments illustrate this problem.

I raised this issue not to express my unwillingness to participate but rather to express my concern that conclusions reached might be challenged. I am most interested that Edgardo Cortes states that people who were dropped who subsequently affirmed their citizenship will not be on the list which would appear to negate my concerns. What this says to me is that a person is sent the postcard which he fails to return (pays no attention to) which results in his registration being canceled. But, when the person finds out they are actually dropped then he is reinstated and his name goes off the list. The only problem with Edgardo's statement is that when current reports are produced (like the current one which has deletions as late as 4/27) it might reflect a period when the person has been dropped before he realizes that he was incorrectly dropped. The earlier large

Case 1:18-cv-00423-LO-IDD Document 188-3 Filed 06/14/19 Page 3 of 7 PageID# 10708

report then should be pretty good for older deletions, assuming the GR's actually do what Edgardo says they should do upon re-registration.

I still cannot explain the two people that I researched. I still am uncertain as to why they are on the list when other corroborating information raises questions as to why they are on the list. I agree we cannot research all of the people.

As for your last email re prosecuting people who voted before they were dropped and then ignoring this law-breaking when they re-register, I believe that people who do re-register are claiming that they never were non-citizens and therefore no laws (illegally voting) were broken.

I will get an Excel spreadsheet created as soon as possible. I have already converted the pdf but the original pdf structure doesn't permit a simple conversion with one row of information for each person dropped. I have to do additional manipulations to eliminate many unneeded rows and to concatenate other rows..

Keith				
Quoting Christian Adams <	>:			
Here is another snip from the report squarely con	nfronting the i	ssue you raise (a:	s well as my previou	ıs email):
				TAN ABOUR
				NA I TATA NI NA NI NA NI NA NI NA NI NI NI NA NI
				a di pada dalami de pendadiginga per pe
				ini fer reproducciazioni. In ma
				sidfficielable or other more more more more more more more mo
				MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF
				The state of the s
				1

	9
From: Christian Adams [mailto: Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 12:45 PM To: 'Reagan George' <	>
Keith – the report regularly refers to them as individuals removed for citizenship problems report addresses what you are saying throughout. Here's a snip:	. More importantly, the
From: Reagan George [mailto:	
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 12:28 PM To: 'Keith G. Damon' < > Cc: Adams J. Christian < > Subject: RE: List of self-declared	

Keith;
There is no way for you and I, or for that matter, Christian to investigate all of these identified non-citizens. They have all been removed from VERIS because they self-declared to the DMV (a government agency) that this was their status. If they did not understand the question, or they trying to make a political statement, or they were coerced into registering in the first place is not our job to determine.
Virginia Code 24.2 - 427.B1 requires the General Registrars to inform these people with a post card about why they are being removed. It is up to them to respond back to the GR and prove their citizenship. If they are indeed non-citizens who voted, it is up to the FBI to investigate their participation in Federal Elections. PILF and VVA are just reporting information that is being hidden by the SBE/ELECT. We may also be uncovering that the GRs are not doing their job. That the DMV is more screwed up than we think it is. That the McAuliffe administration is incompetent or willfully not requiring SBE, ELECT, or GR to do their job. The main thing is all of this is government data, not ours. If it is wrong then the government needs to fix the problems, not us.
I asked Alex why no one is asking the question in the Local Board's office; here are non-citizens who registered to vote (a felony), voted in state elections (a felony), voted in Federal elections (a felony) and not only has no one bothered to look at the voting history, no one has even attempted to refer the people for investigation. It turns out the voter law does not require them to do so, so they don't do it. Another big gap in the crappy Virginia Law that only the General Assembly and Governor can fix.
If you are uncomfortable working on this let me know. I will not mention your cooperation and well as MRs unless allowed to.

1	Danner	
	Reagan	
	From: Keith G. Damon [mailto: Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 10:44 AM To: Reagan George Subject: List of self-declared	
	Reagan:	
	I started to work on converting the pdf. I decided to look at the records of some of the people on the list. I just randomly picked non-hispanic surnames living in single family homes in Fairfax County. Based upon this analy now wonder if this is really a list of actual non-citizens or rather a list of people who - via the DMV - apparently indicated that they are non-citizens regardless of their true status. In other words, while the list is real does it re prove that the people on the list are non-citizens.	ysis,
	To cite just two people:	
	: She registered to vote 5/25/2002 and has voted consistently since to including the 2008 and 2016 Rep Presidential Primaries. Given the date of her registration, she would have go through a DMV renewal prior to the recent one where she apparently said she was not a citizen. Maybe she incorrectly indicated citizenship at the earlier registration but equally possible is that she mistakenly indicated citizen on the recent registration. There is no way to tell.	ne
	: Removed 4/7/2017. Both GOP Data Central and i-360 have a record for him but without any data. Given the timing of his removal and timing of updates for the two databases, I don't know what that means but something must have triggered removing his info prior to DMV removal (NCOA,??). There no indication he voted since his registration on 5/1/2014 (he was born on 1989). He apparently lives with its at least registered with) his parents who have owned their house at since 8/3/1987 (prior to his borth). They have regularly voted. While this cannot be proved from records available to me, if his parents are citizens and he is a citizen regardless of whatever triggered his removal. The only way he would not be a citizen he were born outside the US and his parents were not US citizens. All of this cannot be proved one way of the other.	know e is n (or
	What I say above proves nothing except I am concerned that just accepting all of the people on the list as actua non-citizens may not be correct. If Christian puts out a press release indicating they are non-citizens, it might b problem. Do you have any idea if he has researched these names?	al ne a
	Keith	

Keith Damon

Keith Damon